# MXCuBE CyberSecurity Survey

**Comparison and Summary** 

即

#### MXCuBE/ISPyB Joint Meeting, Elettra Sincrotrone Trieste

### Purpose

- **Start discussion** intra/inter-facilities on cybersecurity
- Sharing insights on security strategies, tools, and experiences
- Identify common and divergent solutions
- Identify any gaps or weaknesses in current practices







### Remote access

#### • Methods:

- Remote desktop
  - VPN and clients sometimes required
  - Tools: NoMachine, FastX, TeamViewer
- $\circ$  Proxies
- $\circ$  Direct
- Time fencing:
  - $\circ~\mbox{Almost}$  all the facilities implement time-limited user access policies
  - Applied to VPN, remote desktop or web application



# Cyber protection

# MX3

#### • DNS:

DNS firewall and Protective DNS: query filtering, blocking malware and anti-phishing

- $\circ~$  Implemented by ESRF, ANSTO, ALBA
- Providers: National Research and Education Network, Akamai, site implementation

### • (D)DoS:

Denial of service

- $\circ~$  ~ 50% of the facilities have DoS protection in place
- Providers: National Research and Education Network, Akamai, site implementation (e.g. fail2ban)



# Login

### • Methods:

- Facility login (User-office credentials)
- Multi-factor authentication (MFA)
  - Implemented by ANSTO, HZB, ESRF but other facilities use it for other systems (e.g. e-mail, web portal)
- $\circ~$  Single sign-on (SSO):
  - Implemented by ANSTO, ESRF
  - Federated system Keycloak
- $\circ~$  OTP integrated in RD applications
- Account expiration date:
  - $\circ~$  50% of the facilities implemented it





### Access to control system

#### • User-side:

- Through MXCuBE
- Dedicated control system tools installed on workstation, via web or remote desktop
- Authenticated and authorized (during beamtime only)
- MXCuBE machine-side:
  - $\circ~$  In most of the cases there are no restriction in connection/communications with the control system





### Access to storage system

#### • User-side:

- $\circ~$  SFTP, web tools
- Authenticated and authorized (proposal)
- MXCuBE machine-side:
  - $\circ~$  The common solution is to mount the storage using NFS protocol



### Networking



- All the facilities implement internal network segmentation
- In most of the cases MXCuBE, control system and storage system are in different subnetworks
- Few cases of fire-walling and filtering between internal networks





# Others cybersecurity practices

- Only two facilities (ESRF, EMBL) perform penetration tests
- Almost all have a dedicated team for cybersecurity
- Software Bill Of Materials (SBOM) is exportable from GitHub, but we don't perform security benchmarks
- Backups of MXCuBE installation are performed by almost all the partners, in these cases, the recovery plan involves restoring these backups.





# Thoughts and open questions

- Many common solutions (e.g. Remote Desktop, NFS, etc..)
- Many facilities already have established cybersecurity teams, or they have plans to create one.
- Should we run automated checks on SBOM to look for potential vulnerabilities?
- Weakness in penetration testing and security benchmarks comes up. Should we take some action ?
- Cybersecurity guidelines (highlight best practices like MFA, DoS and DNS protection)

